An Analytical Methodology for Aerodynamic Analysis of Vertical Axis Wind Turbine

Ngoc Anh Vu*[‡], Ngoc Son Pham*

*Department of Aerospace Engineering, Faculty of Transportation Engineering, Ho Chi Minh City University of Technology, 268 Ly Thuong Kiet, Dist. 10, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam

(vungocanh@hcmut.edu.vn, phamngocson19932011@gmail.com)

[‡]Corresponding Author; Ngoc Anh Vu, 268 Ly Thuong Kiet, Dist. 10, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam, Tel: +84918340297,

vungocanh@hcmut.edu.vn

Received: 29.05.2020 Accepted: 14.07.2020

Abstract- This study describes an effectively analytical methodology to investigate fully aerodynamic performance of H vertical axis wind turbine. An in-house code based on double multiple streamtube theory coupled with dynamic stall and wake correction is implemented to estimate power coefficient. A separated optimization of airfoil shape is first conducted to study the influences of dynamic stall and turbulent wakes. Airfoil shape is universally investigated by using Class/Shape function transformation method. The wind turbine solidity, tip speed ratio and pitch angle of the blade are then studied along with airfoil shape to optimize power coefficient. The airfoil study shows that upper curve tends to be less convex than lower cure in order to extract more energy of the wind in the upstream and generate less drag of the blade in the downstream. The optimal results show that the power coefficient increase by 6.5% with the new airfoil shape and 8.2% when considering solidity, pitch and airfoil together.

Keywords VAWT; H – rotor, DMST; dynamic stall; wake correction; optimization of the blade.

1. Introduction

A wind turbine is a turbomachine that converts wind's energy to electrical energy through the use of blades. The type of the wind turbine is determined by the direction of the inflow parallel or perpendicular to the axis of rotation. Two major types of wind turbines are horizontal axis wind turbines (HAWTs) and vertical axis wind turbines (VAWTs). HAWTs are the most common wind turbine because of its high power efficiency compared to VAWTs. This type of wind turbine have the generator at the top of a tower, and must be placed into the wind by using a steering mechanisms such as a wind vane or a wind sensor coupled with servomotor. HAWTs are lift-based turbines, whereas VAWTs are drag-based or lift-based machines. VAWTs have the rotor shaft placed vertically, the heavy generator and gearbox can be placed on the ground. The main advantages of VAWTs over HAWTs are lower tip speed ratio and omnidirectionality. HAWTs usually operate at tip speed ratios about 6 - 10, whereas VAWTs operate at 1.5 - 4 [1]. These features of VAWTs result in higher angles of attack,

less cost and less noise. Therefore, VAWTs are desired for installation near residential areas. Kaadan et al. [23] investigated social aspects for planning and designing renewable project. The feature of vertical axis of rotation perpendicular to the free stream velocity eliminates the need of a steering mechanism, but also results in more complicated aerodynamics including various blade angles of attack, dynamic stall, and wake interference. Therefore, the design and analysis of vertical axis wind turbine are still challenging researches. The VAWTs can be divided into two basic types which are drag - based (Savonious) type, and liftbased (Darrieus) type.

With the advantages of computer, computational fluid dynamics (CFD) has been widely used in many aerodynamic studies [24 - 26]. The 3D model of a wind turbine was usually simplified into a 2D analysis. Some studies [2, 3] investigated the isolated airfoil shape of HAWT to optimize lift/drag ratio using diverse optimization methods. However, VAWT blades are designed to better aerodynamic performance at the various angles of attack they went through. The VAWT performance is significantly affected by

3D flows such as dynamic stall, tip vortices, and wake interference, that cannot be considered in a 2D airfoil analysis. A first attempt of using CFD to simulate the dynamic motion of a vertical turbine blade in a far-field uniform free-stream velocity flow field was performed by Vassberg et al. [4]. Ferreira et al. [5] presented a CFD analysis of a two-dimensional straight turbine blade to consider the effect of dynamic stall. Marco Raciti Castelli et al [6] proposed a horizontal cross-section CFD model to evaluate power efficiency and calculate aerodynamic forces acting on a straight-bladed vertical axis Darrieus wind turbine. The computational domain is discretized into two distict sub-grids: a rectangular outer zone representing the overall calculation domain, and a circular inner zone rotating with rotor angular velocity. Unnikrishnan Divakaran et al. [28] used 3D CFD simulation to investigate effect of wind speed on the Troposkien blade VAWT. Wei-Hsin Chen et al. [7] simulated the power output of two straight-bladed VAWTs through analyzing the influences of five factors of incoming flow angle, tip speed ratio, spacing of turbine, rotational direction, and blade angle on the performance of the dual VAWT system. Buchner et al. [8] investigated dynamic stall by two-dimensional CFD analysis using unsteady URANS equations with the Menter-SST turbulence model over a range of tip speed ratios. Wei Zuo et al. [9] performed unsteady numerical simulations to investigate the wake structure of a VAWTs and the influence on the aerodynamic performance of downstream turbine.

A review of the main analytical models used for performance investigation and design of straight blade Darrieus VAWT was conducted by [10]. According to the review, the most common models are the double multiple stream tube model. Templin proposed the single streamtube model which is the first and most simple method for the calculation of the performance of a Darrieus-type VAWTs [11]. Wilson and Lissaman [12] improve the single streamtube model to conduct the multiple streamtube model. In this model the swept area of the turbine is divided into a series of adjacent, parallel streamtubes. Through further studies [13-16, 27], BEM - based design have been proved to be able of accurate prediction of Darrieus wind turbine performance. This method is the most basic performance prediction currently used in industrial design of wind turbine. Aerodynamic performance prediction based on momentum theory and blade element theory is presenting the advantage of a low computation. Garbriele Bedon et al. [17] performed optimization of a Darrieus vertical axis wind turbine using blade element-momentum theory. An extended database generation for symmetric airfoil is implemented by varying thickness ratio and chord length of cross-section of blades. Different optimizations were performed and proved configurations characterized by improvements of rotor performance in terms of power coefficient and annual energy production.

Industrial design requires many calculations for all aspects of turbine configuration that CFD method may not be suitable due to its time consuming. Mean while, the double multiple streamtube theory (DMST) is simple enough for quick investigation of 2D turbine performance but not able to take 3D effects into account.

The lack of a full 3D investigation of all factors including solidity, tip speed ratio, airfoil shape, pitch angle of blades is the motivation of this study. This study describes an effectively analytical methodology to investigate fully aerodynamic performance of H vertical axis wind turbine (H-VAWT). The DMST coupled with dynamic stall and wake correction is implemented to estimate power coefficient. The airfoil shape is universally represented by using Class/Shape function transformation method. Therefore, asymmetric airfoil are also investigated to find the best airfoil shape giving maximum power coefficient. A separated design optimization of the airfoil shape is first conducted to study the influences of dynamic stall and turbulent wakes. The wind turbine solidity, tip speed ratio and pitch angle of the blade are then studied along with airfoil shape to optimize power coefficient. The airfoil study shows that upper curve tends to be less convex than lower curve in order to extract more energy of the wind in the upstream and generate less drag of the blade in the downstream.

2. Methodology

The geometric parameters of a VAWT investigated in this study are presented in Table 1. All dynamic stall, wake interaction, and design optimization use these parameters for analyses.

Table 1. H – turbine geometry.

H-turbine parameters		
N _b	3	
Airfoil	NACA0021	
R	515mm	
c	85.5mm	
σ	0.25	

2.1. Method of Airfoil Shape Representation

An advanced geometry representation method, the Class /Shape Function Transformation (CST), is employed to generate airfoil coordinates. The function of airfoil coordinates is a multiplication of shape function by class function.

$$y(x/c) = C_{N2}^{N1}(x/c)S(x/c)$$
[19] (1)

Where: $C_{N2}^{N1}(x/c) = (x/c)^{N1}(1-x/c)^{N2}$: class function

N1, N2: exponents

And
$$S(x/c) = \sum_{i=0}^{N} \left[A_i (x/c)^i \right]$$
: shape function

The Bernstein polynomials are used to present the shape function.

$$S_i(x) = K_i x^i (1-x)^{n-i}$$
 (2)

Where $K \equiv \binom{n}{i} = \frac{n!}{i!(n-i)!}$ represents binomial coefficient, n

is the order of Bernstein polynomial, and i the numbers 0 to n.

The advantages of the CST method in comparison with other methods such as Spline, B-Splines, or NURBS are shown in references [18,19]. The CST can represent airfoil shape very accurately using fewer scalar control parameters.

The class function for the airfoil is given

$$C(x) = x^{0.5}(1-x)$$
(3)

Fourth order Bernstein polynomial is used for airfoil coordinate function. The upper and lower curves are sequentially presented as below.

$$y_{l}(x) = C(x) \left[A_{l0}(1-x)^{4} + A_{l1}4x(1-x)^{3} + A_{l2}6x^{2}(1-x)^{2} + A_{l3}4x^{3}(1-x) + A_{l4}x^{4} \right]$$

$$y_{v}(x) = C(x) \left[A_{v0}(1-x)^{4} + A_{v1}4x(1-x)^{3} + A_{v2}4x(1-x)^{3} + A_{v2}4x$$

$$+ A_{u2}6x^{2}(1-x)^{2} + A_{u3}4x^{3}(1-x) + A_{u4}x^{4}]$$
(5)

2.2. Streamtube model

Templin [11] first proposed the single streamtube model for the estimation of Darrieus VAWTs. In this model, a single streamtube encloses the turbine. The induced velocity is constant throughout the disc and is calculated by equating the streamwise force and the change of momentum along the streamtube.

Wilson and Lissaman [12] improved single streamtube to multiple streamtube model. The single streamtube is divided into multiple parallel streamtubes. Each streamtube is independently considered using the blade element and momentum theories to calculate the induced velocity.

Double multiple streamtube, employed method in this study, is proposed by Paraschivoiu. The turbine is considered as two separate actuator disks which are the front and rear half cycle. The spanwise induced velocity is assumed to be constant. Fig. 1 describes the DMST scheme with five cross sections. Cross section ∞ denote the plane far upstream of the turbine (free stream). Cross section 1 and 2 are sequentially the planes at the upwind and downwind actuator disk. Cross section e is the equilibrium flow which is considered to be far enough from both disks. Cross section w is the wake far from disk 2. The swept area of *j*th streamtube with infinitesimal angular width $\delta\theta$ can be calculated from Fig. 1.

$$A_{1,j} = R\delta\theta\sin\theta_j, \ \theta_j \in (0,\pi)$$
(6)

$$A_{2,j} = R\delta\theta(-\sin\theta_j), \ \theta_j \in (\pi, 2\pi)$$
⁽⁷⁾

The interference factor, thrust coefficient of the front and rear half cycle are sequentially defined:

$$a_1 = V_{a1} / V_{\infty} \text{ and } a_2 = V_{a2} / V_e$$
 (8)

$$C_{F1} = F_1 / \left(0.5 \rho A_{1,j} V_{\infty}^2 \right)$$
(9)

$$C_{F2} = F_2 / \left(0.5 \rho A_{2,j} V_e^2 \right)$$
 (10)



Fig. 1. The velocity vector field at 2D blade.

Three conservation laws of fluid mass, momentum, energy are applied to each streamtube and give the thrust coefficient expression:



Fig. 2. Scheme of flow velocities and forces.

2.3. Blade element theory for VAWT

A cross section of blade is considered. The scheme of the flow velocities and forces at a specific location of the blade is described in Fig. 2. The relative velocity VR is a sum of free stream wind velocity and blade rotating velocity as following.

$$V_R = \sqrt{(V_a \sin \theta)^2 + (V_a \cos \theta + \omega R)^2}$$
(12)

Where Va is the axial flow velocity through the rotor, θ is the azimuth angle, ω is the rotational velocity of the blade, and R is the radius of the turbine.

Normalizing the relative velocity using free stream wind velocity, it can be expressed as a function of tip speed ratio $(TSR=\omega R/V\infty)$, $V\infty$, the azimuth angle θ :

$$\frac{V_R}{V_{\infty}} = \sqrt{\left(\frac{V_a}{V_{\infty}}\right)^2 + TSR^2 + 2\frac{V_a}{V_{\infty}}TSR\cos\theta}$$
(13)

The relative angle of attack and moving path angle can be expressed as:

$$\alpha = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{V_a / V_{\infty} \sin \theta}{V_a / V_{\infty} \cos \theta + TSR} \right)$$

$$\beta = \tan^{-1} \left(\frac{TSR \sin \theta}{V_a / V_{\infty} + TSR \cos \theta} \right)$$
(14)

The instantaneous thrust at any given azimuthal angle can be obtained:

$$F_i(\theta_{i,j}) = 0.5\rho c V_{R,i}^2 (C_D \cos\beta - C_L \sin\beta), \ i = 1,2$$
(15)

Therefore, the force time-averaged along one period in one single stream tube for Nb blades of the turbine can be calculated:

$$F_{i} = \frac{N_{b}}{2\pi} \int_{\theta_{i,j}-\delta\theta/2}^{\theta_{i,j}+\delta\theta/2} F_{i}(\theta) d\theta \approx \frac{N_{b}\delta\theta}{2\pi} F_{i}(\theta_{i,j}), \quad i = 1,2$$
(16)

Therefore, the thrust coefficient at each streamtube can be expressed:

$$C_{F1} = \frac{\sigma}{\pi \sin \theta} \frac{V_{R,1}^2}{V_{\infty}^2} (C_D \cos \beta - C_L \sin \beta)$$

$$C_{F2} = \frac{-\sigma}{\pi \sin \theta} \frac{V_{R,2}^2}{V_{\infty}^2 (2a_2 - 1)} (C_D \cos \beta - C_L \sin \beta)$$
(17)

Where, the solidity is defined as $\sigma = N_b c / (2R)$

2.4. Calculation of power coefficient

The thrust coefficients calculated from DMST and BET are equal. Following the converged solution of thrust coefficient, the interference factors of the front and rear half cycle, α , β can be computed.

The blade torque coefficient at any given azimuthal angle can be calculated:

$$C_{Q_b}(\theta) = -\frac{V_R^2}{V_\infty^2} \begin{bmatrix} \cos\theta (C_D \cos\beta - C_L \sin\beta) \\ +\sin\theta (C_D \sin\beta + C_L \cos\beta) \end{bmatrix}$$
(18)

Finally, the total power coefficient of all blades can be calculated by expression:

$$C_P = C_{P,1} + C_{P,2} \tag{19}$$

Where:

$$C_{P,1} \equiv C_{P,front} = \frac{\sigma TSR}{2\pi} \int_0^{\pi} C_{Q_b} d\theta$$

$$C_{P,2} \equiv C_{P,rear} = \frac{\sigma TSR}{2\pi} \int_{\pi}^{2\pi} C_{Q_b} d\theta$$
(20)



Fig. 3. Calculating algorithm of power coefficient.

The overall flow chart of the calculation of power coefficient is presented in Fig. 3.

2.5. Dynamic stall model

Dynamic stall is an unsteady aerodynamic phenomena that occurs when the blade rapidly change the angle of attack (AoA). This plays a very important role in performance of VAWTs in which the AoA of individual blade periodically changes, particularly at low tip-speed ratios. Dynamic stall presents a hysteresis behavior of the flow passing through the blades, whereas the flow does not immediately react to the variation of AoA.

When the airfoil angle of attack is increasing rapidly, the flow passing upper surface of airfoil is pressed toward its surface and remains substantially attached to the airfoil. As a result, the stall is delayed and an achieved lift coefficient is significantly higher than the steady state maximum.

In the model of Gormont [20], the reference AoA after applying a delay $\delta \alpha$ is

$$\alpha_{ref} = \alpha - K\delta\alpha \qquad [20] (21)$$

Where K is a correction factor proposed by Gormont:

$$K = \begin{cases} 1 & : \dot{\alpha} \ge 0 \\ -0.5 & : \dot{\alpha} < 0 \end{cases}$$
[20] (22)

The delay, $\delta \alpha$, is empirical function of the airfoil thickness and Mach number of the flow:

$$\delta \alpha = \begin{cases} \gamma_1 S : S \le S_c \\ \gamma_1 S_c + \gamma_2 (S - S_c) : S > S_c \end{cases}$$
[20] (23)

Where $S = \sqrt{\frac{c\dot{\alpha}}{2V_R}}$ is non-dimensional rate ratio,

 $S_c = 0.006 + 1.5 \left(0.006 - \frac{t_c}{c} \right)$ is a function of airfoil thickness, γ is a function of airfoil thickness and Mach number.

Finally, the modified lift coefficient is calculated:

$$C_L^{dyn} = C_{L,0(\alpha_0)} + m(\alpha - \alpha_0)$$
 [20] (24)

Where m is the minimum value of either the slop of the linear part of C_L or the value of expression:

$$(C_{L,0(\alpha_{ref})} - C_{L,0(\alpha_0)})/(\alpha_{ref} - \alpha)$$
 [20] (25)

The modified drag coefficient is obtained by using α_{ref} to take the data from the static one.

$$C_D^{ayn} = C_{D(\alpha_{ref})} \tag{26}$$

Fig. 4 shows the comparison of the static and dynamic lift coefficient. They are equal in the linear variation. When the absolute value of AoA is increasing outside the linear regime, the dynamic stall occurs at a higher AoA than the static stall, $\alpha_{ss} \approx 10^{\circ}$. However, when it is decreasing, the upper surface flow changes its state more easily, hence the dynamic lift adapts faster to the static value.



Fig. 4. The effect of dynamic stall to the lift coefficient over the entire cycle (TSR = 2).

2.6. Wake interaction

Wake interaction is the effect of the turbulent wakes generated by the front half blades on the rear half blades. By studying graphic visualizations of the vorticity generated by the blades, Kozal et al [21] proposed a formula to calculate the number of wakes, $N_{\omega} = 0.85 N_b TSR$. Each blade almost intersect with wake twice. By neglecting the wake diffusion, the wake length can be calculated, $L_{wake} = 2cN_{\omega}$.

Kozak et al. showed that the flow inside the wake travels at the same speed and direction that the blade generated it. The relative velocity of the flow in the wake is negligible, the AoA within the wake goes to zero. These effects can be distributed evenly throughout the rear half cycle that the blades pass a half circumference, hence the effective AoA can be corrected:

$$\alpha_{wake(\theta)} = \left(1 - \frac{L_{wake}}{\pi R}\right) \alpha_{ref(\theta)}; 180 < \theta < 360 \, \text{deg} \qquad [21] \ (27)$$

The change of effective AoA in the front and rear half cycle is illustrated in Fig. 5.



Fig. 5. Comparison the effective angle of attack over the entire cycle with $\sigma = 0.25$.

As can be seen in Fig. 5, the effective AoA estimated by DMST with and without wake correction are coincident in the front half cycle. The effective AoA estimated by the DMST is significant higher than that of CFD calculation in the rear half cycle.

The DMST with wake correction improves the accuracy in estimating effective AoA for the entire range of azimuthal angles between 180-360 deg, particularly at higher tip speed ratios.

The wake correction has different effects responding to tip speed ratio. When the blade collides with the wake in the rear half cycle, its lift and drag coefficient decrease dramatically,

$$C_L^{wake} = \begin{cases} C_L^{dyn} : \theta \in (0, \pi) \\ C_L^{dyn}(1 - r_\omega) : \theta \in (\pi, 2\pi) \end{cases}$$

$$C_D^{wake} = \begin{cases} C_D^{dyn} : \theta \in (0, \pi) \\ C_D^{dyn}(1 - r_\omega) : \theta \in (\pi, 2\pi) \end{cases}$$
[21] (28)

Where $r_{\omega} = \frac{L_{wake}}{\pi R}$ is the proportion of the blade path in

the back that cuts a wake.

3. Design Optimization

3.1. Validation

In the Fig. 6, the average power coefficient calculated by DMST with and without dynamic stall and wake correction is plotted with CFD result conducted by Kozak [22]. Kozak investigated the performance of the VAWT by using finite volume method simulation. The low Y+ treatment at the boundary layer was shown to generate accurate results.

The dynamic stall becomes dominant characteristic at low TSR < 2. The Gormont model implemented in this study improves the accuracy in calculating the power coefficient. As a result, the DMST with dynamic stall correction gets closer to CFD simulation in comparison with DMST itself.



Fig. 6. Power coefficient with respect to TSR.

The DMST is not able to take into account the wake dynamics dominant at high tip speed ratios. The effects of the wake interaction result in lower AoA, hence lower power output in the rear half cycle.

3.2 Design optimization

The airfoil shape is parameterized by using CST method presented in section 2.1. The parameters, A_{u0} - A_{u4} and A_{l0} - A_{l4} , sequentially control upper and lower curves of the airfoil shape. Consequently, asymmetric airfoils are also investigated to optimize the power output of VAWT. The well-known XFoil program is used to calculate lift and drag coefficients of the airfoil for a wide range of AoA. A preprocessing of airfoil coordinates is applied before running the XFoil in order to produce convergent lift and drag coefficients. The average power coefficient of the VAWT is chosen as objective function calculated by DMST with dynamic stall and wake correction. Constraints on design variables, $0 \le A_{u0} - A_{u4} \le 0.35$, $-0.35 \le A_{l0} - A_{l4} \le 0$ is used to assure success of design optimization. The symmetric baseline airfoil NACA0021 is a pre-optimal result conducted by a number of studies [6, 21, 22]. Therefore, the simple gradient-based method is employed to quickly find optimal result. The airfoil shape is first investigated, all parameters including solidity, pitch angle, and airfoil shape are then considered in an optimal design process.

As can be seen in Fig. 7, both upper and lower half airfoil reduce the thickness. The upper half airfoil obtained the thickness slightly less than that of lower half airfoil. Consequently, the camber of airfoil change to negative value that can produce more lift in the front half cycle. However, this type of airfoil inherently produce more drag in the rear half cycle, hence loss some power to keep the blade rotating.

Fig. 7b shows that the baseline airfoil NACA0021 generate positive torque in both the front and rear half cycle, and the blade returns the energy to the flow instead of extracting from it at some portions in ends of the rear half cycle and starts of front half cycle. When the blade thickness increases, it was demonstrated to delay the onset of stall at high blade angles of attack but decreases the lift-to-drag ratio. It was observed that a negative camber airfoil resulted in almost all of the energy being extracted at the front half of the turbine cycle. The advanced CST method controls the airfoil shape by 10 design variables that can generate the

optimal airfoil without degrading the performance of VAWT in the rear half cycle. Since the airfoil shape is isolatedly searched for the best performance, the optimal airfoil produces more torque, maximum $C_{Q_b} \approx 0.25$ in the front half, whereas the blades are freely rotating in the rear half cycle almost without returning or extracting the energy from the flow. Eventually, the average power coefficient of VAWT improves by 6.5% at considered TSR = 3. The optimal airfoil works better at all TSRs as shown in Fig. 7c.



Solidity is inherent design variable, whereas pitch angle ϕ of the blade is usually set to zero. When the solidity, and pitch angle are considered together with airfoil shape, the optimal airfoil shape flats down a bit compared to considering isolated airfoil. Solidity has an unfavorable effect on turbine power due to blockage effects at very high

or very low tip-speed ratios. The integrated design optimization results the power increase by 8.2% with the blade pitches down -1deg, solidity increase to 0.352, and the airfoil camber is not that much as considering isolated airfoil. At the low and high TSR, the average power steeply reduces from optimal TSR due to the effect of blockage as shown in Fig. 7c. The results of optimization design are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2.	Design	optimization	results.
----------	--------	--------------	----------

		min	max	Baseline	Optimum for airfoil	Optimum for solidity, pitch angle, airfoil
	A _{u0}	0	0.35	0.3004	0.2104	0.2662
	A_{u1}	0	0.35	0.2631	0.1549	0.2304
	$A_{\mu 2}$	0	0.35	0.2819	0.1806	0.214
DVs	$A_{\mu 3}$	0	0.35	0.2169	0.1653	0.1814
	$A_{\mu 4}$	0	0.35	0.2867	0.2287	0.2397
	A_{l0}	-0.35	0	-0.300	-0.1797	-0.1742
	A_{l1}	-0.35	0	-0.263	-0.2166	-0.2091
	A_{l2}	-0.35	0	-0.282	-0.2174	-0.2166
	A_{l3}	-0.35	0	-0.217	-0.2012	-0.1971
	A_{l4}	-0.35	0	-0.287	-0.2267	-0.2251
	σ	0.15	0.4	0.25	0.25	0.352
	ϕ	-5	5	0	0	-1
Objective function: Average power coefficient Cp		0.465	0.495	0.503		

4. Conclusion

This study successfully demonstrated a process for optimizing the airfoil shape and airfoil shape together with solidity and pitch angle of the blade. An in-house code using DMST method with dynamic stall and wake correction are developed and proved that it is able to predict the performance of VAWTs properly. The dynamic stall plays essential role at low TSRs, whereas, the wake interaction is dominant at high TSRs. The average power coefficients generated by current study agree well with CFD simulation and be accuracy for design optimization, particularly at the optimal TSR in between 2.5 to 4. The CST method is shown to be a good representation of airfoil shape that give geometric flexibility for searching optimal shape. The negative camber airfoil results in the design that achieved an efficiency 6.5% higher than that of baseline airfoil. Higher efficiency 8.2% is achieved when both solidity, pitch angle of the blades and airfoil are adjusted. In all cases, the VAWT geometry are adjusted to extract maximum energy from flow at the front half cycle, but not prevent the rotation of the blades in the rear half cycle.

Acknowledgement

This work was supported by National Foundation for Science and Technology Development (NAFOSTED) of Vietnam (Project No. 107.01-2017.15).

References

- I. Paraschivoiu, Wind Turbine Design: With Emphasis on Darrieus Concept, Polytechnic International Press, Montreal, pp. 66–85, 2002.
- [2] R. B. Langtry, J. Gola, and F. R. Menter, "Predicting 2D airfoil and 3D wind turbine rotor performance using a transition model for general CFD codes", 44th AIAA Aerospace Science and Exhibit, Reno, Nevada, USA, 2006.
- [3] J. Y Li, R. Li,Y. Gao, and J. Huang, "Aerodynamic optimization of wind turbine airfoils using response surface techniques", Proceeding of the Institution of Mechanical Engineers, Part A: Journal of Power and Energy, 2010.
- [4] J. C. Vassberg, A. K. Gopinath, and A. Jameson, "Revisiting the vertical-axis windturbine design using advanced computational fluid dynamics". 43rd AIAA Aerospace Science and Exhibit, Reno, Nevada, USA, 2005.
- [5] C. J. Simao Ferreira, H. Bijl, G. van Bussel, and G. van Kuik, "Simulating dynamic stall in a 2D VAWT: modeling strategy, verification and validation with particle image velocimetry data", Journal of Physics: Conference Series, Vol. 75, 2007.
- [6] M. R. Castelli, A. Englaro, and E. Benini, "The Darrieus wind turbine: proposal for a new performance prediction model based on CFD", Energy, Vol. 36, No. 8, pp. 4919-4934, 2011.
- [7] W. H. Chen, C. Y. Chen, C. Y. Huang, and C. J. Hwang, "Power output analysis and optimization of two straightbladed vertical-axis wind turbines", Applied Energy 185, Vol. 1, pp. 223–232, 2007.
- [8] A. J. Buchner, M.W. Lohry, L. Martinelli, J. Soria, and A. J. Smits, "Dynamic stall in vertical axis wind turbines: comparing experiments and computations", Journal of Wind Engineering and Industrial Aerodynamics, Vol. 146, pp. 163–171, 2015.
- [9] W. Zuo, X. Wang, and S. Kang, "Numerical simulations on the wake effect of H-type vertical axis wind turbines", Energy, Vol. 106, pp. 691-700, 2016.
- [10] M. Islam, D. S. K. Ting, and A. Fartaj, "Aerodynamic models for darrieus-type straight-bladed vertical axis wind turbines", Renewable and Sustainable Energy Reviews, Vol.12, No. 4, pp. 1087–1109, 2008.
- [11] R. J. Templin, "Aerodynamic performance theory for the NRC vertical-axis wind turbine", Ottawa, National Research Council, Canada, 1974.
- [12] R. E. Wilson, and P. B. S. Lissaman, "Applied aerodynamics of wind power machines", Oregon State University, 1974.
- [13] S. Read S, D. J. Sharpe. "An extended multiple streamtube theory for vertical axis wind turbine", Proceeding of the second BWEA wind energy workshop, British wind energy Association, 1980.

- [14] I. Paraschivoiu, "Double-multiple streamtube model for darrieus wind turbines", NASA. Lewis Research Center Wind Turbine Dyn, pp. 19-25, 1981.
- [15] I. Paraschivoiu, and F. Delclaux, "Double multiple streamtube model with recent Improvements", Journal of Energy, Vol. 7, No. 3, pp. 250-255, 1983.
- [16] I. Paraschivoiu, "Double-multiple streamtube model for studying vertical-axis wind turbines", Journal of Propulsion and Power, Vol. 4, No. 4, pp. 370-377, 1988.
- [17] G.Bedon, M. R.Castelli, and E.Benini, "Optimization of a darrieus vertical-axis wind turbine using blade element momentum theory and evolutionary algorithms", Renewable Energy, Vol. 59, pp. 184-192, 2013.
- [18] N. A. Vu, J. W. Lee, and J. Shu, Aerodynamic Design Optimization of Helicopter Rotor Blades Including Aerofoil Shape for Hover Performance, Chinese Journal of Aeronautics, Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 1-8, 2013.
- [19] N. A. Vu, and J. W. Lee, "Aerodynamic design optimization of helicopter rotor blades including airfoil shape for forward flight", Aerospace Science and Technology, Vol. 42, pp. 106-117, 2015.
- [20] R. E. Gormont, "A mathematical model of unsteady aerodynamics and radial flow for application to helicopter rotors", Philadelphia: US., Army Air Mobility R&D Laboratory, Vertol Division, 1973.
- [21] P. A. Kozak, D. Vallverdú, and D. Rempfer, "Modeling vertical-axis wind-turbine performance: blade-element method versus finite volume approach", Journal of Propulsion and Power, Vol. 32, No. 3, pp. 592-601, 2016.
- [22] T. J. Carrigan, B. H. Dennis, Z. X. Han, and B. P.Wang, "Aerodynamic shape optimization of a vertical-axis wind turbine using differential evolution", ISRN Renewable Energy, 2012.
- [23] A. T. Kaadan, M. Endo, M. Uruta, T. Yasuda, "Implementation of VAWT in energy generation decentralization in developing countries social, engineering & open data case study in south - east asia", International conference on renewable energy research and application, 2018.
- [24] B. Hand, A. Cashman, G. Kelly, "An aerodynamic modeling methodology for an offshore floating vertical axis wind turbine, International conference on renewable energy research and application, pp. 273-277, 2015.
- [25] D. M. Ramos, C. D. Sauceda, "CFD study of a vertical axis counter-rotating wind turbine", International conference on renewable energy research and application, pp. 240-244, 2017.
- [26] H. Salem, A. Diab, Z. Ghoneim, "CFD Simulation and analysis of performance degradation of wind turbine blades in dusty environments", International conference on renewable energy research and application, 2013.
- [27] E. Koc, O. Gunel, "Comparison of Qblade and CFD results for small scaled horizontal axis wind turbine

analysis", International conference on renewable energy research and application, 2016.

[28] U. Divakaran, V. R Kishore, A. Ramesh, "Effect of Wind Speed on the Performance of Troposkein Vertical Axis Wind Turbine", International journal of renewable energy research, Vol. 9, No. 3, pp. 1510-1521, 2019.

Nomenclature

Symbol	
A10-A14	Constants of airfoil lower curve polynomial
Au0-Au4	Constants of airfoil upper curve polynomial
$A_{1,j}$	The swept area of j^{th} streamtube at the upwind
$A_{2,j}$	The swept area of j^{th} streamtube at the downwind
Cl, CD	Lift, drag coefficient of the airfoil
C_L^{dyn} ,	Dynamic lift,
C_D^{dyn}	drag coefficient
C _P , C _{Pl} , C _{P2}	Total power coefficient, power coefficient of the front half, power coefficient of the rear half
D	Drag
L	Lift
c	Chord length of the turbine blade
Nb	Number of blade
R	Rotor radius
Val	Axial flow velocity at cross section 1, upstream
V _{a2}	Axial flow velocity at cross section 2, downstream
Ve	Axial flow velocity at cross section e, middle stream
$V\infty$	Wind velocity at the free stream
α	Angle of attack to blade section
φ	Pitch angle
θ	Azimuthal angle
σ	Turbine solidity
ω	Blade rotating velocity
(x, y)	(x, y) of the airfoil coordinates

Abbreviations	
AoA	Angle of attack
BET	Blade element theory
CST	Class Function/Shape Function Transformation
DMST	Double multiple streamtube theory

DVs	Design variables
HAWT	Horizontal axis wind turbine
TSR	Tip speed ratio
VAWT	Vertical axis wind turbine